

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/591,777</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> WHITTAKER ET AL</p>
<p><b>Examiner</b> /Peter A. Hruskoci/</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 1797</p>	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

(2) Shiela A. Loggins

**Date of Interview:** 8 April 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 11/4/09*

**Claims discussed:**  
*All*

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*Prior Art of Record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Amendments to the claims were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of the test results shown in the instant Example. Shiela Loggins agreed to submit a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/Peter A. Hruskoci/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)